PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF FRKelly THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND RECEIVED Attn. Boyce, Conbr THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL 27 Clyde Road SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION Ballsbridge 27 APR 2010 Dublin 4 IRLANDE Computer Diaried (PCT Rule 44.1) DATE: A Date of mailing (day/month/year) 20/04/2010 Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below P94509PC00 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2009/005461 28/07/2009 Applicant FOTONATION IRELAND LIMITED The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith. Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report. Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41-22) 338.82.70 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. With regard to any protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. 4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90 bis.1 and 90 bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication. The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices. In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk

Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016 Authorized officer

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's

Brigitte Chiarizia

Guide, National Chapters.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	200 Form DOT/10 A /200								
P94509PC00	ACTION	see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.								
International application No.	International filing date (day/month)	(Year) (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)								
PCT/EP2009/005461	28/07/2009	30/07/2008								
Applicant	dia amang mang mang mang mang mang mang ma									
EOTONATION TODIAND LIMITED										
FOTONATION IRELAND LIMITED										
This international search report has been prepared by this international Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.										
This international search report consists of a total of sheets.										
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document cit	ed in this report.								
Basis of the report										
a. With regard to the language, the										
r—,	application in the language in which it a international application into									
of a translation ful	mished for the purposes of internation	nal search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))								
b. This international search r authorized by or notified to	b. This international search report has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43.6 bis(a)).									
c. With regard to any nucle d	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.									
2. Certain claims were four	Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box No. II)									
3. Unity of invention is lack	Unity of invention is lacking (see Box No III)									
4. With regard to the title ,										
X the text is approved as sul	bmitted by the applicant									
the text has been establish	the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:									
5. With regard to the abstract,										
X the text is approved as sul	omitted by the applicant									
the text has been establish	ned, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this	s Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant								
may, within one month for	in the date of mailing of this internation	nal search report, submit comments to this Authority								
6. With regard to the drawings ,										
a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1										
X as suggested by the	• •									
<u></u>	Authority, because the applicant fail Authority, because this figure better									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	published with the abstract	onal accomizes and myondon								

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (July 2009)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No PCT/EP2009/005461

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER INV. G06T5/00

ADD. G06K9/00

H04N5/232

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

GO6T GO6K HO4N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
US 2002/081003 A1 (SOBOL ROBERT E [US]) 27 June 2002 (2002-06-27) cited in the application In particular paragraphs [0023] to [0041]	1-25
WO 00/76398 A1 (PROCTER & GAMBLE [US]) 21 December 2000 (2000-12-21) cited in the application In particular pages 7 to 15	1-25
EP 1 453 002 A2 (EASTMAN KODAK CO [US]) 1 September 2004 (2004-09-01) In particular paragraphs [0034] to [0053]	1-25
	US 2002/081003 A1 (SOBOL ROBERT E [US]) 27 June 2002 (2002-06-27) cited in the application In particular paragraphs [0023] to [0041] WO 00/76398 A1 (PROCTER & GAMBLE [US]) 21 December 2000 (2000-12-21) cited in the application In particular pages 7 to 15 EP 1 453 002 A2 (EASTMAN KODAK CO [US]) 1 September 2004 (2004-09-01) In particular paragraphs [0034] to [0053]

X Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	X See patent family annox.		
* Special categories of cited documents :			
 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance 'E' earlier document but published on or after the international filing date 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 	 "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family 		
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report		
29 March 2010	20/04/2010		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/	Authorized officer		
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Hijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Fax. (+31-70) 340-3016	Thean, Andrew		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2009/005461

C(Continua	ation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	Yao, Christina: "Image Cosmetics: An automatic Skin Exfoliation Framework on Static Images" UCSB Four Eyes LabImaging, Interaction, and Innovative Interfaces Publications Thesis, Master of Science in Media Arts and Technology December 2005 (2005-12), pages I-83, XP002575131 University of California Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://ilab.cs.ucsb.edu/publications/YaoMS.pdf> [retrieved on 2010-03-25] In particular Chapters 6 and 7	1-25
A	EP 1 441 497 A2 (LAO SHIHONG) 28 July 2004 (2004-07-28) In particular paragraphs [0128] to [0143]	1-25
A	US 2006/228037 A1 (SIMON RICHARD A [US] ET AL) 12 October 2006 (2006-10-12) cited in the application In particular paragraphs [0065] to [0080]	1-25
A	WO 2007/128117 A1 (AARABI PARHAM [CA]) 15 November 2007 (2007-11-15) the whole document	1-25

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No PCT/EP2009/005461

		·			
Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2002081003	A1	27-06-2002	DE GB	10164201 A1 2372168 A	25-07-2002 14-08-2002
WO 0076398	A1	21-12-2000	AU BR CN CN EP JP MX US	5598000 A 0012216 A 1355680 A 1781448 A 1189536 A1 2001000419 A PA01012946 A 6571003 B1	02-01-2001 06-08-2002 26-06-2002 07-06-2006 27-03-2002 09-01-2001 30-07-2002 27-05-2003
EP 1453002	A2	01-09-2004	AU CA CN HK JP US	2004200313 A1 2455088 A1 1525401 A 1069242 A1 2004265406 A 2006153470 A1 2004170337 A1	16-09-2004 28-08-2004 01-09-2004 04-07-2008 24-09-2004 13-07-2006 02-09-2004
EP 1441497	A2	28-07-2004	CN JP JP US	1522052 A 4218348 B2 2004222118 A 2004208114 A1	18-08-2004 04-02-2009 05-08-2004 21-10-2004
US 2006228037	A1	12-10-2006	US US US	2006228038 A1 2006228039 A1 2006228040 A1	12-10-2006 12-10-2006 12-10-2006
WO 2007128117	A1	15-11-2007	GB US	2451050 A 2008267443 A1	14-01-2009 30-10-2008

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2009/005461 28.07.2009 30.07.2008 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. G06T5/00 ADD. G06K9/00 H04N5/232 Applicant FOTONATION IRELAND LIMITED This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. Ⅰ Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA Date of completion of Authorized Officer this opinion European Patent Office

see form

PCT/ISA/210

Thean, Andrew

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4956

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Cover Sheet) (July 2009)

P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040

	Во	x No. I	Basis of the opini	on					
1.	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:								
		the international application in the language in which it was filed							
		a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).							
2.		This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))							
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of a sequence listing filed or furnished:								
	a. (means)								
	□ on paper								
	☐ in electronic form								
	b. (time)							
		□ in t	he international appli	cation as	filed				
	Į	□ together with the international application in electronic form							
	[□ subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search							
4.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.							
5.	Additional comments:								
		No. V ustrial	Reasoned statem applicability; citatio	ent und ns and e	er Rule 43 <i>t</i> explanation	ois.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or supporting such statement			
1.	Stat	ement							
	Nov	elty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	<u>1-16, 24, 25</u> <u>17-23</u>			
	Inve	entive st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims				
				No:	Claims	<u>1-25</u>			
	Indu	ıstrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	<u>1-25</u>			
2.	Cita	tions ar	nd explanations						

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement.

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
- US 2002/081003 A1 (SOBOL ROBERT E [US]) 27 June 2002 cited in the application
- D2 WO 00/76398 A1 (PROCTER & GAMBLE [US]) 21 December 2000 cited in the application
- D3 EP 1 453 002 A2 (EASTMAN KODAK CO [US]) 1 September 2004
- Yao, Christina: "Image Cosmetics: An automatic Skin Exfoliation Framework on Static Images" December 2005, Thesis, Master of Science in Media Arts and Technology, University of California, USA, XP002575131
- D5 EP 1 441 497 A2 (LAO SHIHONG) 28 July 2004
- US 2006/228037 A1 (SIMON RICHARD A [US] ET AL) 12 October 2006 cited in the application

2 Article 6 PCT

- 2.1 Independent claims 1, 8, 24 and 25 are not clear (Article 6 PCT) because the meaning of the phrase 'vary in luminance at least a threshold amount' is both unclear and unsupported by the description. The meaning of this phrase is vague and it does not appear to correspond to any features of the description, note that:
 - There is no teaching of applying a 'threshold to the <u>variance</u> in luminance in the Description on pages 10 (lines 7 to 18) or pages 13 (lines 7 to 28);
 - The use of a 'threshold to filter data according to the Description on page 8 (line 1) involves 'luminance contrast' and the thresholds on pages 4 (line 7), 12 (line 2) and 15 (line 21) are not applied to luminance values;
 - Although the meaning of the Y component, defined in the context of the YCbCr and YUV colour spaces, is clear, in general, the term '*luminance*' refers to the luminous intensity of a surface in a given direction per unit of

projected area. Luminance is usually measured in candelas per square meter and can be defined for particular wavelength bands i.e. for different colours.

- 2.2 Independent claims 8, 24 and 25 are not clear (Article 6 PCT) for the following reasons:
- the term 'relatively low resolution' is vague and has no accepted meaning in the relevant art:
 - the relationship between the 'main' and the 'low resolution' images is unclear from the wording of claim 8, in particular the unclear phrase 'including capturing [...] combination thereof defines a relationship in terms of temporal (following capture) and structural (lens and image sensor) features that are broad in meaning and whose significance is uncertain:
 - the phrase 'that correspond to' is vague and unsupported by any features of the description. The association of 'blemish regions' detected in one (set of) images to the same region in another 'main' image may not be trivial in general because the 'main' image may be taken under different imaging conditions (camera position and pose, lighting, etc) and at very different times; in general the 'main' image may not contain the same subject as the 'low resolution' images. It is unclear in which way the regions 'correspond to' each other i.e. whether they are related by spatial position, shape, colour or some other property. Note that in the Description on page 10 (lines 26 to 30) facial enhancements may be based on an analysis of 'face region metadata determined from the real-time face tracking subsystem': there is no disclosure of said real-time face tracking subsystem being adapted to identify blemishes in addition to faces and no explanation of how blemishes identified at low resolution may be associated with 'corresponding' regions of the main image.
- 2.4 Dependent claims 6, 15 and 22 are unclear because the meaning of "functions of a relationship" is vague; it is not certain which part of the description is meant to support these claims.
- 3 Article 33(3) PCT and independent claims 1, 8, 24 and 25
- 3.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(3) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claims 1, 8, 24 and 25 does not involve an inventive step.

- D1, as cited by the applicant (see Description, page 2, line 23 to page 3, line 3), may be considered the closest prior art to claims 1, 8, 24 and 25 (see also Section 3.9). D1 discloses an image enhancing system that can be used with digital cameras (D1, paragraphs [0002] to [0009]) which "utilizes the results of the face detector to identify data defining certain personal features that can be enhanced by the image enhancer" (D1, paragraph [0027]) and which is configured to "automatically detect the aforementioned wrinkles and to automatically blur the pixel color values defining the wrinkles and the surrounding skin" (D1, paragraph [0028]). D1 teaches identifying wrinkles using pixel location (D1, paragraph [0028]) and locating other facial features "(e.g. skin, nose, mouth, eyes, etc.)" using their "expected shape and/or color" (D1, paragraph [0030]). Further, it teaches shading the skin tone with a method that uses colour-based skin detection (D1, paragraph [0029]).
- In so far as it can be understood, given the lack of clarity outlined above, the subject matter of independent claims 1, 8, 24 and 25 differs from that of D1 in the following respect (see below for additional discussion of claim 8):
 - according to claim 1 (and claims 8, 24 and 25), blemish regions are identified 'within the skin tone portions of the face'. D1 teaches the importance of limiting facial feature detection to the facial region (D1, paragraph [0031]), but does not use skin tone portions of the face to limit the search for blemishes (D1 teaches the use of skin tone segmentation in the context of skin tone changing). D1 teaches using a priori geometrical assumptions ("corners of the person's eyes", D1, paragraph [0028]) to identify wrinkles and automatically detecting "facial blemishes (e.g. pimples)" using unspecified means (D1, paragraph [0040]).
- 3.4 The problem to be solved by the claimed invention may be regarded as:
 - increasing the accuracy of automatic skin blemish identification by reducing the number of false positive detections (i.e. erroneous detections of regions not associated with skin blemishes).
- 3.5 The solution proposed in claimed in independent claims 1, 8, 24 and 25 cannot be considered to involve an inventive step (Article 33 (3) PCT) because it is a straightforward alternative that is well-established in the art of automatic blemish removal. See for example D2 (page 9, lines 20 to 22), D3 (paragraphs [0034] and [0044]) and D4 (Section 7.4).

3.6 Note the following:

- segmenting skin tone portions of an image forms the basis of some commonly-used face detection algorithms and would therefore be an implicit feature of some embodiments that a person skilled in the art would consider when implementing the invention taught in D1; see for example D2 (page 9, lines 20 to 22) where reference is made to "M.H. Yang, N. Ahuja, 'Detecting Human Faces in Color Images'". D5, paragraph [0004];
- since "facial blemishes (e.g. pimples)" (D1, paragraph [0040]) cannot be reliably identified using geometrical assumptions, a person skilled in the art contemplating D1 would be prompted to consider other methods of blemish identification (including those taught in D2 to D5);
- it is unclear which method of detecting blemishes is claimed in the independent claims (see Article 6 PCT discussion above). Note that the use of image texture and pixel intensity variance for identifying facial skin blemishes is one of several straightforward alternatives that are known in the art of automatic blemish removal; see D2 (page 12, line 26 to page 13, line 5) and D3 (paragraph [0072]);
- the generally-accepted meaning of the term 'luminance' (see Article 6 PCT discussion above) includes the use of pixel colour i.e. the luminance in given colour bands as used in D1. The use of blemish reduction techniques that apply smoothing to a weighted combination of RGB values (e.g. Y in the YCbCr and YUV colour spaces) instead of RGB values would not involve an inventive step, because the use of such alternative colour spaces for such purposes is a straightforward alternative; see D3 (paragraphs [0046], [0054] and [0034]) and D6 (paragraph [0081]).
- 3.7 Regarding claim 8 (and claims 24 and 25), the subject matter of these claims is unclear (see Article 6 PCT objection above) and since no clear objective technical problem can be established, no inventive step can be attributed to the claimed invention (Article 33(3) PCT; see PCT Guidelines, A13.08.1).
- 3.8 Note the following:
 - D1 teaches using scaled (resized, lower-resolution) images for improving the completeness of face detection (D1, paragraphs [0034] to [0036]):

- identifying blemish regions in images with lower-resolution than the main image has the predictable disadvantage that blemishes characterised by high-detail, high spatial-frequency features may be impossible to detect resulting in a higher rate of false negative blemish detection errors;
- in as far as it can be understood, the use of lower-resolution images does not appear to combine with the disclosed method of blemish detection to produce a synergistic effect i.e. claim 8 appears to contain a juxtaposition of features;
- blemish correction on main images using parameters derived from preview images is known to have advantages for digital camera systems e.g. see D5 paragraphs [0089] to [0093] and [0129] to [0138].
- 3.9 Claims 1, 8, 24 and 25 are not considered to involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) when documents D2 and D3 are taken as the closest prior art.
- 4 Article 33(2) PCT and independent claim 17 and dependent claims 18 to 23
- 4.1 Independent claim 17 and dependent claims 18 to 23 are not new (Article 33 (2) PCT) in view of D1 (localized smoothing is taught on paragraph [0028]; identification and enhancement of mouths and eyes is taught on paragraphs [0030] and [0031]). The meaning of the term 'luminance' has been discussed above.
- 5 Article 33(3) PCT and remaining dependent claims
- Dependent claims 2 to 7 and 10 to 16 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 5.2 Regarding claims 2 to 4 (and claims 10 to 13), see D1, paragraph [0028].
- Regarding claims 5 and 7 (and claims 14, 16), see "locate data defining a particular facial feature [...] manipulated to enhance the person's appearance" (D1, paragraph [0030]) and "search for white color values to locate the data defining a person's eyes" (D1, paragraph [0031]). See also, D3 (paragraphs [0083] to [0086]).
- 5.4 Regarding claim 6 (and claim 15), in so far as it can be understood, see D3 [0051], [0077] and [0079].
- 5.5 Regarding claim 9, in so far as it can be understood, see D5 paragraph [0135].